

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/056,898	01/25/2002	Horst Heckmann	B87.312-26	3283	
164	7590 07/23/2003			•	
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			EXAMINER		
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET			CULBRET	CULBRETH, ERIC D	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			3616		
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/056,898

Examiner

Eric D Culbreth

Applicant(s)

HECKMANN, HORST

Art Unit

3616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 mag	onths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (event, however, will the statutory	 the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se 	
Extensions of time may be obtained und have been filed is the date for purposes of de 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the ex	der 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate of etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, in R 1.704(b).	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
	d on <u>11 July 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth tension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	in
2. The proposed amendment(s	s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues t	hat would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of	new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to issues for appeal; and/	o place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or si- for	mplifying the
(d) they present additiona NOTE:	l claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply has overce	ome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amende canceling the non-allowable	ed claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed e claim(s).	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ extapplication in condition for	hibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO allowance because:	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will I raised by the Examiner in t	NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the final rejection.	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the explanation of how the new	e proposed amendment(s) a)□ will not be entered or b)⊠ will be entered a v or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is	s (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:	_	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7</u>	<u>7-10</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from co	onsideration:	
8. The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is a) \boxtimes approved or b) \square disapproved by the	Examiner.
9. Note the attached Informati	on Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation She	Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner	th 7/22/03
	Art Unit: 3616	•

Continuation of 10. Other: The remarks filed 7/11/03 are not persuasive because, as applicant notes and examiner has noted, the reference to Heckmann discloses that his embodiments are examples, meaning the modification is not hindsight (even the primary reference suggests modification by saying the embodiments are examples) and because the combination of references in the final rejection is not a bodily incorporation of parts (Heckmann in the combination teaches those claimed features not taught by the secondary reference).